

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/821,965	03/30/2001	Peter Bernhard Kaars	US000204 1058		
75	10/03/2003	EXAMINER			
Corporate Pate		BACKER, FIRMIN			
Philips Electron 580 White Plair	nics North America Corpo	ART UNIT PAPER NUMI			
Tarrytown, NY			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						Sh				
		•	Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
		Action Summary	09/821	09/821,965		KAARS, PETER BERNHARD				
	Offic		Examir	ner	Art Unit					
	*1		Firmin	Backer	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earner	ORTENED MAILING Designs of time in SIX (6) MONTI period for reply period for reply reto reply received by a patent term and a patent term of the six of th	O STATUTORY PERIOD IN COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMM	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the a after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tir statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)🛛	•	ive to communication(s) f								
2a)☐		on is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This action							
3) Dispositi		s application is in condition accordance with the prace ms				ne merits is				
4)⊠	Claim(s)	1-22 is/are pending in the	application.							
	4a) Of the	above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawn from o	consideration.						
	•	is/are allowed.								
· <u> </u>	, , –	1-22 is/are rejected.								
·										
·		are subject to restri	ction and/or election	requirement.						
	on Papers			·						
9)[	The specifi	ication is objected to by th	ne Examiner.			•				
10) 🔲 -	The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	Applicant	may not request that any ob	ejection to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) 🔲 -	The propos	sed drawing correction file	ed on is: a)□	approved b)☐ disappro	oved by the Examin	er.				
	If approve	ed, corrected drawings are re	equired in reply to this	Office action.						
12)	The oath o	r declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U	I.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowle	dgment is made of a clain	n for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).					
a)[	All b)	Some * c) None of:								
	1. Cer	tified copies of the priority	documents have be	een received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
* S		pies of the certified copies application from the Inter ached detailed Office action	national Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage				
14)∐ A	cknowledg	gment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisiona	l application).				
		anslation of the foreign la gment is made of a claim		* *						
Attachment	t(s)									
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of Draftsper nation Disclo	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review ( sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			r (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					
I.S. Patent and Tr PTOL-326 (R			Offic Action Sumr	nary	Part	f Paper No. 3				

**DETAILED ACTION** 

This is in response to a letter for patent filed on March 30<sup>th</sup>, 2001 in which claims 1-22 are presented for examination. Claims 1-22 are pending in the letter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two prong test of:

- (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
- (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete and tangible result.

For a claimed to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea law of nature, natural phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a method claim to pass the muster, the recited method must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case the inventive concept in claims 1 and 9 only recite an abstract idea.

The recited step of financially charging a content provider ..... etc. does not apply, involve, use

Application/Control Number: 09/821,965 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

or advance the technological arts since all the steps can be performed in the mind of the user or by use of pencil and paper and no specific technology (e.g. computer, processor) is expressly recited in the body of the claims. *In re Toma (CCPA 197 USPQ 852 (1978))*.

Although the recited method produces a useful, concrete and tangible result, since the claimed invention, as a whole, it not within the technological arts as explained above, claims 1 and 9 are deemed to be directed to non-statutory subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Jacobs et al (U.S. PG Pub 2001/0034763 A1).
- 5. As per claims 1 and 9, Jacobs et al teach a inventive method of being compensated for a transfer of a software element to render a content information comprising advertising a content information and financially charging a content provider of the content information based on the transfer of the software element triggered by an individual's request for the content information (see fig 9, paragraphs 0011, 0012, 0013,042).

Art Unit: 3621

6. As per claims 2-8, 10-22, they disclose the same inventive concept as claims 1 and 9.

Therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. (see form 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Firmin Backer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0624. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Firmin Backer

Art Unit 26

Art Unit 3621

September 24, 2003